REMARKS:

The Notice indicated that the earlier-filed response was non-compliant for the following reasons:

- A. A complete listing of all claims was not present.
- B. The listing of claims did not include the text of all claims.
- C. Each claim had not been provided with the proper status identifier.
- D. The claims of the amendment paper had not been presented in ascending numerical order.
- E. Other (with no other explanation).

After reviewing the earlier-filed response, Applicants noted that the listing of claims omitted claims 1 to 30, which have been cancelled. In order to correct this oversight, Applicants are submitting a complete listing of the claims with this paper. See 37 CFR 1.121(h). The listing includes claims 1 to 30 and an indication that those claims have been cancelled, thereby addressing items A, B and C in the Notice.

Items D and E do not appear to be applicable to the earlier-filed response. In particular, listed claims 31 to 58 were in ascending numerical order, and no indication of the "Other" reason for non-compliance was given. As an abundance of caution, however, the Examiner is encouraged to phone if applicant's attorneys are believed incorrect.

103.1019.10

Applicants' undersigned attorney can be reached at (614) 486-3585. All correspondence should continue to be directed to the address indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 14, 2004

Dane C. Butzer Reg. No. 43,521

Swernofsky Law Group PC P.O. Box 390013 Mountain View, CA 94039-0013 (650) 947-0700

1